# Determination of <sup>125</sup>I, <sup>129</sup>I and <sup>131</sup>I in Milk

# M. G. BETTOLI, G. ORLANDI and 0. TUBERTINI

Laboratory of Environmental Radiochemistry, University of Bologna, Via Selmi 2, 40126 Bologna, Italy Received June 1,1984

### **Abstract**

The determination of iodine radioisotopes in ric actemination of found radiosolopes in cow's milk has been reconsidered and improved in order to reach higher accuracy and sensitivity and a shorter analysis time.

#### **Introduction**

Our laboratory is involved in the systematic **Can favoratory** is involved in the control of radioactivity in food products.

The relevance of radioisotopes of iodine in milk<br>in biological, physical, chemical and geological systems, the increasing diagnostic and therapeutic ysichis, the increasing diagnosite and therapeutic  $\frac{1}{2}$  of  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  in nuclear including, and the necturial release of the construction connected with nuclear energy activities, gave rise to considerable interest in the radioiodine in cow's milk. The air-grass-cow-milk-man pathway is a major route of radiation exposure of human thyroid.

Assuming an average man intake of 1 1 milk  $day^{-1}$ , using the International Commission on ray, using the international commission of permission radioiodine concentration in water, and the maximum permissible radioiodine concentration in water, and adopting an additional safety factor of ten, one can estimate the allowable concentration in milk to be 8.0 pCi/I for  $^{125}$ I, 1.6 pCi/I for  $^{129}$ I and 8.0  $pCi/l$  for  $131l$ . In the present control work the analytical de-

the the present control work the analytical determination method of radioiodine isotopes in milk has been improved as far as reproducibility, sensitivity and time required for analysis are concerned.

# **Experimental**

#### *Reagents and Apparatus*

# *Milk*

Fresh samples from typical farming land far riesii sampies from typical rammig fand raft away from industrial and urban pollution and from<br>nuclear plant areas were collected.

# 0020-1693/85/\$3.30

#### *Inorganic Anion Exchanger: AgCl-SiO<sub>2</sub>*  $\frac{n}{2}$   $\frac{n}{2}$

 $\frac{100}{100}$  interviews called out by shaking  $\frac{100 \text{ at } 100 \text{ g}}{1000 \text{ at } 200 \text{ g}} = 1.605 \text{ M}$  silver nitratestaping grands with  $200$  m or  $0.5$  m silver intrate solution, stirring the solid phase with 300 ml of 0.5 M hydrochloric acid and washing the mixture with water to remove free hydrochloric acid. Then AgCl-SiO<sub>2</sub> was dried at 100  $^{\circ}$ C and stored in the dark to prevent photodecomposition of the silver chloride.

### *Radioiodine Standard Solutions*

The standard solutions of radioisotopes were obtained from the Saclay Centre (131<sub>I</sub>) and from  $t_{\text{total}}$  and  $t_{\text{total}}$  and  $t_{\text{total}}$  and  $t_{\text{total}}$ 11C IV<br>20-1  $\frac{129}{1}$ ).<br>Reagent-grade chemicals and bidistilled water

were used for all solutions.

# *Potentiometric Measurements*

The determination of iodide concentrations was carried out by using an Orion Mod. 94-53 iodide  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  orion mod.  $\frac{1}{2}$  single junction  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ reference electrode. Potentials were obtained to within  $\pm 0.1$  mV using an Orion mod. 701A digital millivoltmeter. All potentiometric measurements<br>were carried out in a thermostatted cell  $(25 \pm 0.1)$  $^{\circ}$ C) in the dark.

#### *Gamma counting*

 $T$ ummu Counting measurements of  $125$ <sub>1</sub> and 1311  $\frac{1}{2}$  activity in casulelistics of  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  wele carried out by gamma-spectrometry with a 3 in  $\times$ 3 in NaI(TI) well-type detector  $(1.7 \text{ cm diameter})$ 5.2 cm depth) coupled to a Northland IT-5400 multichannel analyser.

#### *Beta Counting*

The activity measurements of  $\frac{1294}{100}$  were carried carri  $\frac{1}{100}$  activity incastic dictions of  $\frac{1}{100}$  were called out by liquid scintillation (LSC) double channel, using a Nuclear-Chicago Mod. 4534, which provides a pulse-height output linear with the phototube pulse. The detectors lead was protected by an additional shield to minimize the background count-<br>rate.

## 0 Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in Switzerland

### *Analytical Determinations*

 $\sum_{i=1}^n$ rently used in milk employees, most procedures currently used in milk employ an initial anion exchange concentration step, which is based on the observation that almost all the milk iodine is in the inorganic state as iodide (the remainder being protein-bound)  $[2]$  and therefore it is recoverable by ion exchange.

The success of any radiochemical technique based upon the removal of the iodide species is greatly dependent upon the preservation of the milk from the time of sampling to that of analysis. It has been reported that unpreserved milk samples may show<br>significant increases in the protein-bound iodine (PBI) fraction (at 37  $^{\circ}$ C up to 50% of the iodine can  $\frac{1}{1}$  bij haction (at  $37$  c up to  $\frac{30}{6}$  of the founce can become bound within  $2 \text{ m}$  [3]. The rid is unavaluable for anion exchange, thus its formation greatly decreases the accuracy of the results. By addition of  $0.5$  M formaldehyde  $[4]$  the PBI formation was fully inhibited at any iodide concentrations. In this case the storage time must be known for decay corrections. USE OF A LARGE SAMPLE VOLUME 3

USE OF a large sample volume (TOT) will invariably result in an increase in sensitivity. However, for the practice of laboratory handling two samples of 5 1 each were treated in parallel.  $\frac{1}{2}$  were treated in paramer.

since it is impossible to measure unectly extreme-

ple required a chemical processing of volume reducthe required a chemical processing of volume red  $T_{\text{tot}}$  chemical process in a step several steps:

pre-concentration with an animal with an animal with an animal view pre-concentration with an anion exchanger, purification from matrix, carrier recovery determination, gamma and beta counting. The stable is the stable in all milked previously in all milked previous

stame fourte present previously in all finite samples as iodide was less than  $0.2 \text{ mg/l}$ ; therefore 5 mg/l of iodide as stable iodine carrier was added to 5 1 of milk and the chemical recovery was de- $\sigma$   $\sigma$  in the initial formula increase in the initial stable initial stable in the initial stable initial stable in the initial st  $\epsilon$ *minied*, is correction for the initial static found was added, but the approximation thus introduced was less than 4%. In the case of fresh milk obtained from cows bred with iodine-enriched feed, correction of stable iodine should be necessary, so that the determined value of radioiodine recovery will<br>be negatively biased. when sufficient stable in the internal in the i

when sufficient static found is present in the  $(2, 2, \ldots, 1)$ sample  $(>2 \text{ mg/l})$  the iodide carrier is not necessary.<br>All radioiodine contained as iodide in 5 1 of milk reached immediate equilibrium with the added sodium iodide carrier.

The determinations of iodide concentrations were made by the use of an iodide-specific electrode and a standard addition technique.

The chemical processing for each sample is presented in Fig.  $1$  [5].



**Fig. 1.** Outline of the chemical procedure in the determination of radioiodine in milk samples.

(a) Pre-concentration and purification procedure  $\alpha$  m  $\alpha$  m of  $\alpha$  is a matter and  $\alpha$  matter and  $\alpha$  matter and  $\alpha$ A milk sample  $(5 \, 1)$ , after addition of 25 mg of sodium iodide carrier and formaldehyde to 0.5 M concentration, was batch exchanged with 5 g of dry AgCl-SiO<sub>2</sub> (three times), where iodide anions are strongly and selectively adsorbed. With the solid phase, after centrifugation for fat elimination, a column was filled from which the iodide was removed as iodate anion by elution with aqueous chlorine solution and sulphuric acid. A further purification was carried out by passing the solution on a cation exchange resin, after having converted again iodine to iodide.<br>(b) Carrier recovery

The recovered iodide was determined with an ion specific members of the recovered found was determined with an ion pectric memorane electrode, which is a rapid method for determining the chemical yield, using 2% of the final concentrated solution.<br>(c) Gamma and beta counting

 $\sigma$  counting and beta counting  $\sigma$ rile type of counting of fourie radionuclides adopted can be rationalized looking at the decay schemes:  $\frac{31}{12}$  (half-life: 8 d) decays by several beta-emissions by several beta-emissions by several beta-emissions by several between  $\frac{3}{2}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$  (nan-me. o d) decays by  $\frac{1}{2}$  (half-lay 01.304.) Nev.

 $\frac{1}{2}$  (nan-lite 1.7  $\wedge$  10 y) is a low-energy beta- $\frac{128x}{x}$  from which is decaying to an excited state  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$ , it is a gamma-ray of  $\alpha$   $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$ .  $^{25}$ Equilid-lay 01 J 7.0 K 6 V,<br> $^{25}$  (1, 1010 cm electronic decay by electronic decay)

 $\frac{1}{2}$  (han-life. oo d) decays  $\frac{100}{2}$  by election. capture followed by 35.4 KeV gamma-ray emission. The X-rays  $(27.4 \text{ and } 31.0 \text{ KeV})$  emitted after the electron-capture events are in coincidence with<br>the photons emitted in the decay of the 35.4 KeV  $\mu$ excited in the decay of the  $\mu$ <sub>25</sub>  $\mu$  . The set of  $\mu$ <sup>35</sup>  $\mu$  . The set of  $\mu$  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  the X-rays following the internal conversion of the 35.4 KeV gamma-ray, or the uncoverted gammaray itself. These coincident photons give rise to the photopeak gamma-ray spectrum, which shows a  $\frac{1000 \text{mu}}{34.4 \text{mu}}$  be  $\frac{1000 \text{mu}}{34.4 \text{mu}}$ detection of both the X-rays and the 35.4 KeV gamma-rays and a peak at about 60 KeV, due to coincident summing of two X-rays or X-ray and a The measurements of  $\begin{bmatrix} 0, 1 \\ 0, 125 \end{bmatrix}$ .

 $\frac{1}{10}$  international spectrum spectrum spectrum spectrum spectrum and  $\frac{1}{10}$  detectors a  $\frac{1}{10}$  detectors and  $\frac{1}{10}$  detectors and  $\frac{1}{10}$  detectors and  $\frac{1}{10}$  detectors are not detectors and  $\frac{1}{$ out by gamma spectrometry with a NaI(Tl) detector (well type), which allows favorable conditions to detect the 60 KeV sum peak of  $^{125}$ I and, of course, the 364.5 KeV sum peak of  $2^{11}$  and, of course,  $\frac{1}{100}$  is  $\frac{1}{100}$  and  $\frac{1}{100}$  and  $\frac{1}{100}$  and  $\frac{1}{100}$  to  $\frac{1}{100}$  to  $\frac{1}{100}$  to  $\frac{1}{100}$  and  $\frac{1}{25}$  and  $\frac{1}{25}$  in contribution of  $\frac{1}{25}$  and  $\frac{1}{25}$  to the  $125$ I region was measured and found to be only minor. No interference was shown in the  $^{131}I$  counting.  $\frac{f}{f}$  beta counting of  $\frac{120x}{100}$  with a liquid scintillator  $\frac{1}{100}$ 

counting of "I with a negative scintinator" counter, the channel B was optimized for  $^{129}$ I balance point counting  $[8]$ , using a standard containing 2 ml of aqueous solution and 15 ml of scintillator (obtained from J. T. Baker Chemical Co. as 'LSC<br>cocktail' for Radioimmunoassay). In order to utilize

the Compton distribution of scattered electrons ne compton distribution of scattered electrons produced in the liquid scintillation solution for quench monitoring  $[8]$ , using an external solid  $\mu$ anima-ray source of cs, an output of the  $LSC$ unit was connected to an Intertechnique 5A 40B multichannel analyser.  $\alpha$  must be final solution, and  $\alpha$  after gamma counting, and  $\alpha$ 

 $\lambda$  mi of the final solution, after gamma counting, was submitted to LSC for determination of  $^{129}$ . Correction was made for the presence of  $^{125}$ I; there is no need for  $^{131}$ I correction if decay is allowed.

# Results and Conclusions

The chemical recovery of the iodide carrier dethe chemical recovery of the iodide carrier determined with an ion specific membrane electrode using 2% of each of the final concentrated solutions gave an average value of  $43 \pm 2\%$ .

The gamma counting efficiencies, using a 3 in  $\times$ 3 in NaI(Tl) detector well type, of 2 ml of final solution were  $35.2\%$  for  $^{131}$  at 364 KeV, 21.1% for <sup>125</sup>I at 60 KeV. The LSC counting efficiencies for <sup>129</sup>I and <sup>125</sup>I in the same sample were 50.3% and  $30.0\%$  respectively.  $W_0$  respectively.

with these experimental data it is possible to estimate the lower limit of detection (LLD) of iodine radionuclides. The radionuchdes.

I ne true net signal (L<sub>D</sub>) level which may be *a priori* expected to lead to detection is defined by eqn.  $(1)$  [9]:

$$
L_D = 2ks = 2k(s_{\text{arose}}^2 + s_b^2)^{1/2}
$$
 (1)

where k: coefficient depending on the present  $\alpha$  is confident depending on the preselected confidence level, s: standard deviation of the net ignal, s<sub>gross</sub> variance of gross (directly-observed) ounting, s<sub>b</sub>: variance of background counting. At 95% confidence level and 5% risk level, the value of k is 1.645.  $\frac{18}{1.043}$ .

Ine standard deviation is approximately independent of the signal level when the background counting is large; therefore:  $s^2 = s_{\text{gross}}^2 + s_{\text{b}}^2 = 2s_{\text{b}}^2$ <br>and L<sub>D</sub> can be calculated by eqn. (2):

$$
L_{\mathbf{D}} = 4.65s_{\mathbf{b}} \tag{2}
$$

Lr, may be related to the minimum detectable activ- $\tau_{\rm D}$  may be related to the minimum detectable activity  $a_D$  by means of:  $L_D = k'a_D$ , where k' represents a calibration factor which, while not being involved directly in the statistics of the detection limit, has a fundamental role in optimizing a given procedure. In this field of research  $k'$  concerns the detection efficiency and the chemical recovery. Therefore the minimum detectable activity  $(pCi)$ , which is generally reported as LLD, is:

$$
a_{\mathbf{D}} = \frac{4,65s_{\mathbf{b}}}{\text{ER2.22}} = \text{LLD}
$$

where  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}$  for the respectively for the respectively. radionulle effective incremely for the respective radionuclides  $\text{(cpm/dpm)}$  R: chemical recovery;  $\frac{1}{2.22}$  conversion factor for dpm to pCi.

When the activities of three iodine radionuclides when the activities of three found radiomatines<br>to not interfere, it is possible to estimate the LLD<br> $\overline{D}$ of  $^{125}I$ ,  $^{129}I$ ,  $^{131}I$  in the following conditions: (1) sample volume of fresh milk 10 1, (2) iodine recovery 43%, (3) counting efficiency  $35.2\%$  for  $^{131}I$ , 21.1% for  $1251$  and 50.3% for  $1291$ , (4) counting time 1000 minutes with the background counting rate of 7.5 cpm for  $^{125}$ , 19.9 cpm for  $^{131}$  and 14.4 cpm for  $^{129}$ I. These values of LLD are: 0.4 pCi/l for  $^{125}$ I and  $^{131}$ I; 0.2 pCi/1 for  $^{129}$ I.  $T = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2} \$ 

in recently recently radiofound separation used in radiochemical environmental studies must allow both reliable environmental control and high sensitivity. We have therefore compared the sen- $\frac{1}{2}$  sitivity, we have therefore compared the senmivity of the method, defined as  $LLD$ , to the maximum permissible radioiodine levels expressed as 1% of the values recommended by the ICRP (Table I).

TABLE I. Comparison between the Maximum Permissible (ABLE 1. Comparison between the Maximum Fermission

Matrix		Milk
Sample volume		101
Intake		11 day
1% ICRP permissible dose	$^{125}I$ , $^{131}I$	$0.8$ pCi/l
	129 <sub>1</sub>	$0.16$ pCi/l
<b>LLD</b>	$^{125}$ I, $^{131}$ I	$0.4$ pCi/l
	129.7	$0.2$ pCi/l

To check the method with real samples of fresh mounted in the memor with teal samples of fresh  $\mu$ , shown amounts or the radiomulates, or the and  $\sigma$  put the extended by computer and the amounts were estimated by comparison with an identical sample where no radionuclide was added (Table II).

From these data we can derive both the values of average percent recovery  $\bar{X}$ , and the measure of precision using the relationship for a single set of n measurements with  $n \le 20$  [10]:

confidence limits =  $\bar{X}$  - ts/n<sup>1/2</sup>

where s is the standard deviation and the standard deviation and the table value s is the statigate deviation and c is the c-table due at the stated confluence level. At  $75\%$  complete value of t is 2.228 [ 111.

value of t is 2.228 [11].<br>Therefore we get:  $101 \pm 9$  for <sup>131</sup>I, 98  $\pm$  4 for  $^{125}$ I and 95 ± 9 for  $^{129}$ I.

These results allow us to get the precision of the incse results allow us to get the precision of the r mproved memod in taneous determinations.<br>The time required for the parallel treatment of

the third required for the parameter treatment the two samples was  $1.3-2$  days for pre-concentration on radioiodine and  $3-4$  days for overall determination.

The radioiodine levels in cow's milk of most Italian regions proved to be below the LLD values.

These results indicate that the presence of a nuclear power results indicate that the presence of a radical power readion and the increasing use of radioiodine in nuclear medicine does not cause appreciable radiation contamination of the agricultural products in the surrounding areas.

#### References

- International Commission on Radiological Protection nternational Commission on Radiologi (ICRP) 2, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1959.
- 2 E. W. Brethauer, A. L. Mullen and A. A. Moghissi, *Health Phys., 22, 257 (1972).*
- 3 G. D. Potter and D. R. Mcintyre, J. Dairy Sci., 51(8), 1177 (1968). D. M. Montgomery and J. E. Gibbson, *Health* Phys.,
- J. M. Montgon

TABLE II. Comparison between the Added and the Recovered Quantities of <sup>125</sup>I, <sup>129</sup>I and <sup>131</sup>I in 101 Milk Samples.

Sample	$^{131}$ I (pCi l <sup>-1</sup> )		$^{125}$ [ (pCi l <sup>-1</sup> )		$^{129}$ [ (pCi l <sup>-1</sup> )	
	Added	Found	Added	Found	Added	Found
	5.2	$4.6 \pm 0.2$	5.1	$5.2 \pm 0.2$	3.5	$4.0 \pm 0.2$
	5.2	$4.0 \pm 0.2$	5.1	$5.0 \pm 0.2$	3.5	$3.8 \pm 0.2$
	5.2	$6.0 \pm 0.1$	5.1	$4.8 \pm 0.2$	3.5	$4.2 \pm 0.2$
4	10.0	$11.5 \pm 0.3$	5.1	$4.5 \pm 0.2$	3.5	$3.2 \pm 0.2$
	10.0	$9.2 \pm 0.3$	15.0	$13.2 \pm 0.3$	6.0	$5.0 \pm 0.3$
6	10.1	$12.0 \pm 0.2$	15.0	$14.8 \pm 0.3$	6.0	$4.5 \pm 0.3$
	15.5	$17.3 \pm 0.4$	15.0	$14.5 \pm 0.4$	6.0	$5.2 \pm 0.3$
8	15.5	$14.5 \pm 0.4$	15.0	$15.5 \pm 0.4$	9.5	$8.0 \pm 0.4$
9	15.5	$14.8 \pm 0.3$	15.0	$16.0 \pm 0.4$	9.5	$8.2 \pm 0.4$
10	20.1	$18.5 \pm 0.4$	30.5	$28.8 \pm 0.5$	9.5	$8.5 \pm 0.4$
11	20.2	$22.2 \pm 0.3$	30.5	$32.2 \pm 0.5$	9.5	$10.0 \pm 0.4$

- 5 S. Meloni, G. Nogara and G. Queirazza, J. *Microprobe Techn. and Trace Anal.,* in press.
- 6 J. S. Eldridge and P. Crowther, *Nucleonics, 22(6), 56*  (1964).
- <sup>*D. L. Horrocks, J. Radioanal. Chem., 65, 307 (1981).*</sup>
- 8 D. L. Horrocks, *Nature,* 202,78 (1964).

9 L. A. Currie, *Anal. Chem.*,  $40(3)$ , 586 (1968).

 $\overline{a}$ 

- 10 a) J. R. Green and D. Margerison, 'Statistical Treatment of Experimental Data', Elsevier, 1977; b) see also *Anal. Bern.,* 52, 221 (1980).
- 11 H. S. Mickley, T. K. Sherwood and E. Reed, 'Applied Mathematicsin Chemical Engineering', McGraw-Hill, 1957.